Shelter houses under Manila Swadhar Scheme

† 2697. SHRIMATI PREMA CARIAPPA:
SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of shelter house opened under 'Mahila Swadhar' programme and the locations thereof, State-wise;

(b) the capacity of each of the shelter houses to keep destitute women;

(c) whether Government are aware that condition of the women residing in these shelter houses is worse;

(d) the amount of fund allotted, State-wise and year-wise under 'Mahila Swadhar' programme during the last few years and how much amount was spent out of this; and

(e) the number of shelter houses closed/proposed to be closed so far out of the above and the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI KANTI SINGH): (a) A State-wise list showing the number of Swadhar Shelter Homes sanctioned is annexed as Statement-I (See below).

(b) The capacity of each shelter home ranges from 25 to 200 destitute women.

(c) No such report has been received from any of the State Government.

(d) Swadhar is a central sector scheme. Funds are released directly to implementing agencies. There is no specific State-wise allocation of funds under Swadhar scheme. However, the year-wise details of funds allocated under Swadhar scheme is

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

as under:—

Year	Amount allotted (Rs. in crores)	Expenditure (Rs. in crores)
2001-02	0.50	0.80
2002-03	9.00	4.04
2003-04	1.00	1.10
2004-05	3.69	4.21

Statement-ll indicating assistance provided to voluntary organizations during last three years is annexed (See below).

(e) Out of 93 Shelter Homes, 5 Shelter Homes have been closed by the implementing agencies as they have expressed their inability to run the shelter homes.

Statement-I

Sanction of Swadhar Shelter Homes, State-wise, as on 16.8.2005

SI. No	Name of the State	No. of Swadhar Homes sanctioned
1.	Andhra Pradesh	12
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	—
3.	Assam	3
4.	Bihar	—
5.	Chhattisgarh	—
6.	Delhi	2
7.	Goa	—
8.	Gujarat	5
9.	Haryana	2
10.	Himachal Pradesh	1
11.	Jharkhand	—
12.	Jammu & Kashmir	1

SI. No	Name of the State	No. of Swadhar Homes sanctioned
13.	Karnataka	15
14.	Kerala	—
15.	Madhya Pradesh	—
16.	Maharashtra	1
17.	Mizoram	1
18.	Meghalaya	—
19.	Manipur	9
20.	Nagaland	—
21.	Orissa	19
22.	Punjab	—
23.	Rajasthan	2
24.	Sikkim	—
25.	Tripura	—
26.	Tamil Nadu	12
27.	Uttar Pradesh	1
28.	Uttaranchal	—
29.	West Bengal	7
30.	Andaman & Nicobar	—
31.	Chandigarh	—
32.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	—
33.	Daman & Diu	—
34.	Lakshadweep	—
35.	Pondicherry	—
	TOTAL:	93

Statement-ll

Assistance to Voluntary Organisations under Swadhar Scheme during last three years

(Rs. in lakh)

SI.No.	Name of the States	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
1.	Andhra Pradesh	32.83	—	61.61
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	—	—	—
3.	Assam	—	—	10.20
4.	Bihar	—	—	—
5.	Chhattisgarh	—	—	—
6.	Delhi	6.69	8.12	—
7.	Goa	—	—	—
8.	Gujarat	95.5	24.10	17.48
9.	Haryana	7.90	12.88	8.85
10.	Himachal Pradesh	—	—	—
11.	Jharkhand	—	—	—
12.	Jammu & Kashmir	7.65	—	2.58
13.	Karnataka	19.70	5.10	79.69
14.	Kerala	—	—	—
15.	Madhya Pradesh	—	—	—
16.	Maharashtra	50.00	—	7.71
17.	Mizoram	—	5.10	—
18.	Meghalaya	—	—	—
19.	Manipur	—	24.33	23.86
20.	Nagaland	—	—	—
21.	Orissa	—	4.35	80.11
22.	Punjab	—	—	—
23.	Rajasthan	4.00	4.58	3.49
24.	Sikkim	—	—	—
25.	Tripura	—	—	—

SI.No. Name of the States	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
26. Tamil Nadu	29.35	4.60	18.78
27. Uttar Pradesh	81.00	—	10,69
28. Uttaranchal	—	1.21	—
29. West Bengal	4.23	11.67	3.52
30. Andaman & Nicobar	—	—	—
31. Chandigarh	—	—	—
32. Dadra & Nagar Haveli	—-	—	—
33. Daman & Diu	—	—	—
34. Lakshadweep	—	—	—
35. Pondicherry	—	—	—
36. Central Social Welfare Board	63.52	30.56	71.44

Amendments to Dowry Prohibition Act

2698. SHRI ABU ASIM AZMI:
SHRI AMAR SINGH:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code enacted to prevent harassment to women for dowry is being misued by women to harass their in-laws;

(b) if so, whether Government propose to amend the Dowry Prohibition Act so as to avoid its misuse;

(c) if so, the details thereof and by when, if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) whether it is also a fact that complaints against the in-laws and their family members are registered in the police stations even after lapse of ten or more years of the marriage and if so, the reasons therefor?